

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/479,648	Applicant(s) STEELMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner Geoffrey L. Knable	Art Unit 1733	

All Participants:

(1) Geoffrey L. Knable.

(2) Kevin W. Raasch.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 11 May 2006
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
new ground by the Board of Appeals
Claims discussed:
amended/new claims in 11/28/05 amendment
Prior art documents discussed:
none
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner gave applicant's representative a courtesy call to inform him that the 11/28/2005 amendment would be held non-responsive to the new ground of rejection by the Board of Appeals as it amends the claims and adds new claims in such a manner that they are no longer considered to be "directed to the same subject matter as the appealed claims" (MPEP 1214.01(I)).